

ÒWelcome to CanadaÓ: Hospitality, Inclusion and Diverşitn Private Refugee Sponsorship

Anna Hutchinson

Supervisor: Dr. Tariq Jazeel

This research dissertation is submitted for the MSc in Global Migration at University College London, 2017.

Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not have been possible without the support daving from countless people on both sides of the Atlantic. I would like to particularly thank my sorp, ervis

1. Introduction

The 1976 ImmigrationAct, called into force in 1978, marked beginning of the private sponsorship system in Canada. According to section of (the Act, any group of Canadian citizens or permanent residents may sponsor the admission of any Convention refugee or any other permitted classirofmigrant (Government of Canada,976). Private sponsorshipwas first put into actionby the Canadian public to assist the government in resettling nearly 60,000 Vietnamese, Cambodian, and Laotian refugees to Canada in the late 1970s and early 1980s (Hydmanal., 2017). Over the past few decades, community groups and private citizens have sponsored thousandefores to resettle in Canadaring the election campaign in the fall of 2015, TrudeauÕs Liberal government emphasized a strong commitment to opening CanadaÖs doors and resettling 25,000 Syrian refugees. This commitment was honoured within several months of the Liberals taking office and et as with widespread support across the country. The resettlement initiative owtensyed by the federal government as a means of strengthening and continuing CanadaÖs humanitarian tradition and history of inclusion and diversity. According to information by the Government of Canada, betwether 4th of November 2015 anthe 29th of January 2017 more than 40,000 Syrian refees were resettled in Canada.

Within the PSR program, applicants for refugee sponsorship are classified into two separate categories. Convention refugees of fijciral cognized as such by UNDER are those who are outside their home country and cannot return due to a four fided fear of persecution

!

6

In the context of the ecentlarge scale resettlement effort, this dissertation

2. Literature Review

This dissertation draws on a body of critical acadiem iterature on cosmopolitanism, hospitality, and responsibility.

al., 2002 Spivak, 2012 Martha Nussbaurhasgone back to the conceptÕs Kantian roots to employ the notion of cosmopolitanismas a tool toachievea world based on equalitynd respect (1994, 1997). Howev@avid Harvey is critical of the way that cosmopolitanism is implicated in KantÕsacist geographical imagination and mplicit Eurocentrism (2009). In response to concerns about the capacity for cosmopolitanism to address the realities of living

that does not desire inclusion or welco(2009).

2.2. Multiculturalism and Diversity in Canada

In an order to begin a project of analyzing hospitalitya **pa**socess ofdestabilizing interiors, which Bulley argues for, it is first necessaryexplore what constituteSanadian nationalidentity (2017). Since Confederation 150 years ago, the history of Canada has been fraught with debates abowhat it means to be Canadian.1971 multiculturalism became the official policy of Canada and a mediam for distinguishing the Canadian national mosaic against the melting pot policy of its neighbour to the south (Fortier, 2008; Wayland, 1997). Since the late1970s,multiculturalism has been expanded a policy of promoting cultural diversity to a recognition of multiculturalism as a fundamental element of Canadian identity (Wayland, 1997)Within the literatue there have been critiquesmulticulturalism, including various debates about the fatt multiculturalism and the realities of living in a Ôpost multiculturalÕ worl@Kymlicka, 2010;Vertovec, 2010)Multiculturalism has been blamed for creating ethnic segregation, Ôparallel lives,Õoantide failure of integration (Fortier, 2008; Vertovec, 2010).Kymlicka argues thatrather than do away entirely with multiculturalism, there needs to be greater attention paid to establishing the conditioinspfementinga multicultural

3.

follow up questions were used gain a full picture of each sponsorship experie(see Appendix 1 and 2)

Name*	Date of	Period of	Type of	Country	Location of
	Interview	Sponsorship	Sponsorship	of Origin	Interview
Sheila	27.06.2017	October 201€ Ongoing	G5	Canada	Toronto
Skylar	28.06.2017	September 201 Ð Ongoing	SAH/CG	USA	Toronto
Marcie	06.07.2017	July 2016DJuly 2017	SAH/CG	Canada	Toronto
Theresa	07.07.2017	February 201 ⊕ February 2017	SAH/CG/BVOR	Canada	Toronto
Sandra	10.07.2017	September 2016 Ongoing	SAH/CG	Canada	Toronto
Birgitte	11.07.2017	June 2017D Ongoing	SAH/CG/BVOR	Denmark	London, ON
Mia	11.07.2017	June 2017D Ongoing	SAH/CG/BVOR		ĸ

Table 1. Interviews with Sponsors

concern among the newcomers that were still in their initial year of sponsorship as they were receiving ongoing assistance from their sponsors. The ethical concerns raised/bewinter newcomers will be discussed in further detail belevewever, to make one final point about

consent for their answers to be used in this research project and confirming that all information provided would remain anonymou(see Appendix 3)

3.4 Data Analysis

As the research showed, each sponsorship experience was different, both between and within sponsorship groups. However, several common themes, challenges, and highlights emerged.Both sets of datataken from archival research and interview transcripts, were carefully read,coded and analysed to reveal commonalities between experiences, as well as between experiences and the official discourse. coO3orysex

O3or()-on20 7 (cD ()Tj /TT1 1 30 (0 (pe)4 (ry)- ()-at)-7 7 .46)4 (x)2-10 (pp ()-30 (ta)4 (lght)-d)- (

rest of Canada, particularly the astly different programs in Quebec, have been exclueed. discussed above, the pepectives of newcomers were transformed in this research due to ethical concerns. The research is also limited by the small sample size. While private to cross these bordershe objectives of theÔdiscretionaryÕ resettlement programmento

4.1.2National Discourse

Beyond, orrather other than, the openings made possible at the physical borders of the Canadian nation state, the national discourse of welcome and inclusion provides possibility of hospitalityAt all levels of government and across civil societhere was a public rhetoric of Canada as a welcoming, hospitable, and inclusive countries/sentiment was shared by prominent world leaders such as Antonio Guterres, previously the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees and now serving as the Bary General of the United Nations. Hewrote an article shortly before the Syrian resettlement initiative began calling on Canada to continue its Ôproud record of opening their arms to those seeking a new beginningÕ (The Globe and Mail25th September 2015) Appeals to CanadaÕs identity as a country of welcome also came from the Ontario premier, Kathleen Wynne, and the previous Minister for Immigration and Citizenship, John McCallurthe Globe and Mail Oth December 2015). An image of Canada as a welcoming and inclusive place was projected both globally and within Canada, which served as a rhetoric of unconditional hospitality with which to greet all newcomersA similar message of Canadian national hospitality was articulated by many of the sponsors interviewe Skylar expressed that as an American and a new Canadian citizen she ohn(m)-2 (ki)1he

around the world shareÕ (quoted**Tine** Global and Majl 11 December 2015). While the message was meant as one of welcome, Trudeau placed conditions upon the essence of what it means to be Canadian in the foorfrûniversaÕvalues.Values, as a Ôtechnology of regulationÕ, serve to welcome some, while excluding others (Brown, 2008). There is also an implicit message that people will be required to change if they donÕt adhere to Canadian values, a message echoed by some sponsors as shown in the sections below.

Private sponsorship also has the ability and powensettle the constitutivelements of Canadian identity through hospitalityan Brown, in an article for the Globe and Mail described private sponsorship and resettlement in Canada as a civic project the States an be Canadian? Should people montalify? How much do refugees have to behave like other Canadians? And what does being Canadian mean any more, any Watey Boote and Mail, 24th May 2017). The promise of hospitality rests in the possibility of engagement, mutual transformation and thereation of a space where host could become guest and guest could become host (Dike•, 2002). Sponsorship could provide a means of questioning and changing identities to overcome difference the Canadian playwright, Michael Healey, spoke athost own sponsorship experience and the Canadian imperative (or)-7 (e)4 (,)ad (ove)2 (r)-7 (c)4 (om)-2

was met with the equal imperative for naming and identifying in order to make hospitality effective. However, the process of identiation had the potential to impose clusionary and harmful conditions, such as proving worth vulnerability.

4.2 Spaces of Hospitality

4.2.1 Engagement and Connection

The space of private sponsorship exists as an embodied, grounded, aptableetblat disrupts the binaries of local and global (Massey, 2084) posorship provides space for connection and engagement between sponsors and newcomers but also with the wider community and national also provides a space where Canadian residents and citizens can engage on a deeper and more personal level with the lives of people around the Dimericl. sponsorreferred to the process of extending engage boeyround the sponsorship groap the Ôripple effectÕ (Interview with Theresaduly 2017). The spaces of engagement elived at the dining room tablethe ice rink, the cottages in northern Ontariski chalets, and in the

connection that my family was important and they wanted to share, to feed usÕ (Interview with Skylar, 28^h June 2017). Over the course of their shared meals, Skylar and the newcomer family took on different roles in relation to each other process of engagement and negotiation that has continued throughout the period of sponsorship.

Engagement can happen beyond the totedyay encounters between sponsor and newcomerSome of the sponsors expressed that they felt more tuned in to what was happening in Syria, Lebanon, Jordan and Turkey, depending on the journey of the sponsored newcomers and of the experiences of other newcomers in Cabectause of the personal connections they had made(Interview with StephenthGJuly 2017; Interview with Therest^a July 2017)Tuning in, paying attention, and engaging was

understanding of the world. Theresa and her group, in their evaluation for their SAH, expressed that they felt the experience Ôhad made [them] better people and better CanadiansÕ (Interview with Theresa, ♥ July 2017). Sponsorship included a process of set#flection ononeÕs own preconceptions of the world. It also provided a space to reflect and talk about the journeys tha sponsors and their families had made to Canatteresa felt that there was potential for private sponsorship to continue in Canada and work in other contexts if Ôpeople approach it with a willingness to learn and be transformed thems to open up spaces of hospitality where sponsors and newcomers cotate on the role of guest and houst dergo transformation and engage with each and the wider communities on a deep and meaningful level. However, process of engagement didnÕt always lead to positive transformation or meaningful connection. Nearly every sponsor interviewed pointed tomments, interactions and conversations of contestation, discomfort, and anger.

tithegues of hos-16 ()]TJ -6.76 -1.72(gue)4 (s)4 (l)-2 (i)-2 (t)-2 (y)-13

July 2017).In the context of this researelachmoment of contestation and disagreement can

were extremely selective about the apartment they wanted to live in, which caused frustration among the sponsors over the amount of time and effort they were required to put in to find them a suitable place to live. The situation was further coatplicby the fact that the newcomer family was living in a house normally occupied by two of the sponsors. While they were travelling for the majority of the time the newcomers lived there, they had to stay with various friends until the newcomer family found an apartment of their own. These points of contestation were imbued with issues of sovereignty over space and the ability to make choices for oneself. While negotiation and contestation could extend the space of hospitality as compromises were found athe engagement between newcomers and sponsors contine expectation for gratitude had the potential those the door to hospitality.

4.2.3 Power and Gratitude

The individual experiences of sponsorstrip the perspective of sponsorstrip process that can be engaging, enriching, meaningful, and transformative while simultaneously difficult, frustrating, and uncomfortable. Each sponsorship group negotiated these moments in different ways but there were also times when sponsoastdip the space of hospitality were closed entirelyMarcieOs experience of sponsorship was particularly illustrative of the ways that sponsorship can become harmful and uncomfortable for both sponsors and newcomers (Interview 6th July 2017). The sponsorspigroup was formed in a community close to the downtown of Toronto with the majority of sponsors joining as a result of a connection to the local school.Local enthusiasm and a surplus of donations meant that the group was able to split into two sponsorspis and facilitate the resettlement of two families to the atea member of the initial group, Marcie helped sponsor the family that was supposed to arrive first but when the second gro**up** memuch quicker, she helped set up their apartment and assisted them in a number of different ways. When the first group finally arrived months later, the majority of sponsors were on summer holidays so the initial work of resettlement fell to Marcie and a few others who were still in Toronto. The composition of atmely was unusual as they were all adult children, one with a spouse, and their mother. Unbeknownst to the sponsors, the daughterin-law was pregnant and had family that was already settled in northern Toronto near the church that they belonged to. The memory arrived with a number of expectations about the welcome that would be extended to them, inclu**thequnderstanding that they would be** provided with a credit card for them to buy a car, formal attire for an upcofaind y occasion, and a drive every weekend to their family up north. They also insisted on finding

accommodatiomear totheir family and theocal religious community, despite the sponsorsÕ

difficult position. As Theresa said, Ôhow free can people really feel at this early stage to be critical when theyÕre supposed to be grateful whole dynamicround being grateful is so nauseating but itÕs realInterview onth7July 2017).

Conclusion

Private refugee sponsorship operup thresholds and spaces of hospitality tween newcomers and sponsors@anada.While hospitality cannot be fixeats a singular experience or relationship, sponsorship provided flashes and moments of hospitality where the double imperative of unconditionality and naming could exist simultaneoutshyrough federal immigration policiesgovernment initiatives, andationaldiscoursethe Canadian nation state excluded the very possibilities for hospitality it claimed to open spthA research has shown, experiences ofrivate sponsorship involved processes of engagement, connection, mutual respect and reciprocal hospitaliHowever sovereigntyand the imbalance of power relations posed a constant threat to the promise of hospitalityivate sponsorship provides an opportunity for individual Canadians tongeage withothers,rework the meaning of what it means to be Canadiaand extend welcome. However, the possibilities for harm within the space of hospitality demand further attention to regulating, mitigating and controlling the power imbalances within individual relationips. The hospitality of private sponsorship has enormous potential to continue, both within Canada and on a **globala**, yethere must be room for the kind of contestation and negotiation that leads to engagement, rather than closure.

This dissertation provides

!

particularly relevant given the convergence of Syrian resettlement with the recent influx of refugees entering from the United Sta(tesse Guardian25th August 2017.) As mentioned in the introduction to this dissertation, the potential to expand the program of private refugee sponsorship to other countries around the globe demands further interrogation of the individual relationship between sponsors and newcomers. Whisedissertation has provided a small window into these relationships, it is necessary to examine them across a wider scale and from

List of References

Time, **Õ**Paragraph32(10): 114.

- Fortier, A. M. (2008) Multicultural horizons: diversity and the limits of the civil nation, London: Routledge.
- Gidwani, V. K. (2006) ÔWhatÕs Left? Subaltern Cosmopolitanism as PAlittipsoÕe 38(1): 721.
- Gilroy, P. (2004)After empire: melancholia or convivial cultureôndon and NewYork: Routledge.
- Government of Canada (197@)anada: Immigration Act, 19767, c. 52, s. .O(WWW) Ottawa: Government of Canadav(w.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b5c60.ht)ml
- Government of Canad(2001) Ôlmmigration and Refugee Protection Act (S.C. 2001, c. 27)Õ (WWW) Ottawa: Government of Canadattp://laws.justice.gc.ca/eng/act2/.5/; 22 June 2017).
- Government of Canada (20)7â#WelcomeRefuge: Key Figure (WWW) Ottawa: Government of Canada (http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/refugees/welcome/milestones.asp; 29 January 2017).
- Government of Canada (2017b) ÔResettlement from Outside (Ca(Nada/W) Ottawa: Government of Canadat(p://www.cic.gc.ca/english/refugees/outside/index.asp; 16 March 2017)
- Government of Canada (2017 Guide to the Private Sponsorship of Refugees,Õ (WWW) Ottawa: Government of Canada (http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/resources/publications/met/index.asp; 26 May 2017).
- Harvey, D. (2009)Cosmopolitanism and the Geographies of Freedoew York: Columbia University Press.
- Hyndman, J. (2004) ÔRefugee Camps as Conflict Zonesp**Titics** of Gender Q In W. Giles and J. Hyndma(reds) Sites of Violence: Gender and Coindt ZonesBerkeley: University of California Press 93-212.
- Hyndman, J., P. William and S. Jimenez (2017) ÔPrivate refugee sponsorship in Canada,Õ Forced Migration Review 4: 5659.
- Jackson, P. (2001) ÔMaking sense of qualitative datantive data function Dwyer (eds.Qualitative Method Solid) (064(i)-27 drf()42 (064(i)-27 drf()42) (064(i)-27 drf()42

- Johnston, H. J. M. (2014) he Voyage of the Komagata Maru: The Sikh Challenge to CanadaÕs Colour Bal/ancouver: University of British Columbia Press.
- Jones, S. V. (2010) ÔThe Invisible Man: The Conscious Neglect of Men and Boys in the War on Human Trafficking,Õtah Law Review2010(4): 11431188.
- Kant, I. (1991)Kant: Political Writings Trans. H. B. Nisbet.Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Pollock, S., H. BhabhaC. BreckenridgændD. Chakrabarty(2002) ÔCosmopolitismsÕn C. BreckenridgeS. Pollock, HBhabha and DChakrabarty (es), Cosmopolitanism. Durham: Duke University Press:114.
- Rose, G(1997) ÔSituating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics,Ó Progress in Human Geograp 2(3): 305320.
- Rosello, M. (2001)Postcolonial Hospitality: The Immigrant as Guestanford: Stanford University Press.
- Russell, W. (2007) ÔSexual Violence Against Men and Boysced Migration Review 27:22-23.
- Social Sciences and Humanit Research Council (2016) ÔTargeted Research: Syrian Refugee Arrival, Resettlement and Integration June 2016 Competition Awards,Õ Ottawa: SSHRCh(ttp://www.sshrccrsh.gc.ca/resultesultats/recipients recipiendaires/2016/syrian_refugreefugie_syriereng.aspx3 October 2016).
- Spivak, G. C. (2003) Peath of a DisciplineNew York: Columbia University Press.
- Spivak, G. C. (2012) ÔForeword: Cosmopolitanisms and the cosmopolitidal pôl Dynamics24(2-3): 107-114.
- Stronks, M. C. (2012) ÔThe question of Salah Sheekh: DerridaÕs hospitality and migration law,Õnternational Journal of Law in Conte&(1): 7395.
- Trudeau, J. (2017a) ÔTo those fleeing persecution, terror & war, Canadians withevelc you, regardless of your faith. Diversity is our strength. #WelcomeToCanada.Ó [Twitter] 28 January. [Accessed 10 July 2017] Available from: https://twitter.com/JustinTrudeau.
- Trudeau, J. (2017b) Ô#WelcomeToCanada.Õ [Twitter] 28 January. [Accesse@0107]July Available from<u>https://twitter.com/JustinTrude</u>au.
- Turner, S. (2000) ÔVindicating masculinity: the fate of promoting gender equation Review 9:8-9.
- Vertovec, S. (2010) ÔTowards prostiticulturalism? Changing communities, conditions and contexts of diversity, Ôternational Social Science Journal (199): 8395.
- Wayland, S. V. (1997) Ôlmmigration, Multiculturalism and National Identity in Canada,Õ International Journal on Group Rights 3358.