

<!DOCTYPE html>

Session ID

51

Session Title

Conceptualising Resistance in Archaeology: From Prehistory to Occupying Wall Street

Start Time

Wed Dec 18 14:00:00

Room

802/4

The notion of resistance is receiving a great deal of attention in the social sciences, but at the same time its productiveness is at risk due to the heterogeneity of meanings that it encompasses, ranging from violent and organised opposition to small scale, everyday acts of dissidence. The 'soft version' of the concept is due to James Scott (1990), according to whom every small dissident practice can be labelled as resistance as long as it bears that intentionality. However, this conceptualisation has been accused of accepting as resistance practices that are in fact trivial. In contrast, more radical approaches have argued that power exists within every network of relationships, and its visibility and functioning change depending on the processes of dissolution/resistance that operate within human societies. Following González-Ruibal (2014), *Network /resistance* ^{and} *é*

oppo r dor ww a o etwor /réty
st, k of bty

14:20 Ana G. San Martin, Brown University

Metaphors to resist by

When thinking about how Power structures its different organizational dynamics, one feels immediately compelled to explore both the mechanisms and subjects reinforcing it, but tends to forget how their own creative will intervenes in the process.

Power imbalances can be found varying in the fashion of the networks they are being examined through. One of these forms, that in which different notions of time and temporality (and co i d en m a d e n m m a

